

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/053,158

Applicant(s)

DAVIDSON, ERIC

Examiner

Peter A. Hruskoci

Art Unit

1724

All Participants:(1) Peter A. Hruskoci(2) Karen B. Tripp**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 January 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***Prior Art Rejection as stated in the Office Action dated 9-17-03***Claims discussed:***All***Prior art documents discussed:***Prior Art of Record***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***It was agreed to amend the claims and to cancel claim 6, and non-elected claims 8-23 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 1 lines 25-33 and page 4 lines 16-25 of the instant specification.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - If appropriate)